

Trends in Developing the Fast, Clean Close

**Refining Financial Processes and Systems
for Best Execution**



Benchmark Research
Research Report
Executive Summary



V E N T A N A
R E S E A R C H

Aligning Business and IT To Improve Performance

Ventana Research

2603 Camino Ramon, Suite 200

San Ramon, CA 94583

info@ventanaresearch.com

(925) 242-2579

www.ventanaresearch.com



San Ramon, California

March 2012

Ventana Research performed this research to determine the needs and practices of finance organizations seeking ways to improve financial closing. This document is based on our research and analysis of information provided by organizations that we deemed qualified to participate in this benchmark research.

This research was designed to investigate financial closing practices and needs of individuals and organizations and the potential benefits from improving their existing processes, information and systems. This research is not intended for use outside of this context and does not imply that organizations are guaranteed success by relying on these results to improve planning. Moreover, gaining the most benefit from improving financial closing requires an assessment of your organization's unique needs to identify gaps and priorities for improvement.

We certify that Ventana Research wrote and edited this report independently, that the analysis contained herein is a faithful representation of our evaluation based on our experience with and knowledge of finance and closing processes, and that the analysis and conclusions are entirely our own.

Ventana Research

Executive Summary

Completing the accounting cycle quickly and accurately is a good indicator of efficiency in a finance organization. Whether monthly, quarterly or annually, many CFOs and controllers are looking for ways to close their books faster to save their company money as well as produce final numbers sooner.

How quickly the books are closed has a number of ramifications. It can affect how rapidly a company is able to prepare management reports, of which accounting data is a core component. Getting information into the hands of managers faster enables them to understand their situation sooner. This in turn should help them react more promptly and effectively, and that agility should translate into better performance by individuals, departments and perhaps the whole organization.

For public companies the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) has shortened the quarterly and annual filing intervals for forms 10-K and 10-Q and now requires use of the eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) to make financial filings easier for investors to understand. These mandates increase pressure to speed up the financial closing processes, even if only by a few days, so companies can gain time to prepare and publish those financial statements in the required format.

In 2007 Ventana Research conducted benchmark research on organizations' efforts to accelerate the financial close. We undertook the current research to understand what has changed as well as to acquire real-world information about levels of maturity, trends and best practices in this area. It explores how they do this now, how people at various levels feel about the current processes and tools, plans they have to change or improve them, and benefits they hope to gain by doing so.

80 percent of participants said that their company should close its books within five to six days of the end of the month.

We found that not a lot has changed, and in some respects companies have lost ground. As an ideal, four in five participants said that their company should close its books within five to six days of the end of the month and 65 percent said within five to six days of the end of the quarter. However, only about half (49%) do succeed in closing their books within that time frame after the end of the month, and just 38 percent do so after the end of the fiscal quarter or half-year. Both these numbers are declines from the numbers in 2007 when 58 percent said the monthly close occurred within five or six business days and 47 said so for the quarter or half-year.

These results are not due to a lack of interest; 82 percent of participants said that shortening the closing period is important or very important. Those that take longer to close are most emphatic about the need to improve: 43 percent of those for whom shortening the close is important or very important take longer than eight business days to close. And participants acknowledge that they can do better, saying on average that their company can cut at least two days from both the monthly and quarterly closes. The longer it takes their company to close, the more time participants think they could save. For example, among those that take nine or more days, more than half (56%) said they should be able to close three or more days sooner.

In findings that closely mirror those in 2007, slightly more than half (54% in this research vs. 57% before) of companies have changed their closing process in attempts to shorten it. Their primary reasons for doing so largely remains the same: to have more time for analysis (34% vs. 31%) and to get financial and management information out as quickly as possible (27% vs. 29% for both types). These obviously are worthwhile goals, but the findings indicate that many organizations are not doing enough to achieve them.

Some straightforward steps can produce progress, the research indicates. One is simply to establish a strong focus by instituting a formal process to manage the close. Three-fourths (77%) of companies that had a program in place to shorten their close succeeded, compared to just 15 percent that lacked a formal commitment. This includes looking for and addressing issues that can extend the close. Two-thirds of companies that do this monthly and half that do it quarterly have shortened their process; only 10 percent of those that do it semiannually or annually have had success.

Three-fourths (77%) of companies that had a program in place to shorten their close succeeded, compared to just 15 percent that lacked a formal objective.

Among participants who said that their company has shortened its closing period, 71 percent said they control the closing process more effectively and consistently. A deliberate focus such as noted above can help make this happen; documenting the process step-by-step can be helpful, especially when it leads to identifying bottlenecks, simplifying or eliminating steps or putting steps in a better order. About half (52%) of successful companies optimized their use of journal entries. Other steps cited less often included

centralizing more of the accounting functions, automating intracompany reconciliations and simplifying financial reports. Each of these changes usually helps improve speed, reduce errors and increase control.

Another source of potential time savings is to automate portions or all of the closing process, but the research finds that few companies do this. Just 6 percent of participants said that they use automated workflow to handle substantially all of the process and handoffs. About half (49%) said some pieces are automated but more could be, while 40 percent have automated none of the functions. The results show a correlation between automation and the length of closing periods. Among those that have automated, 43 percent complete their monthly process in one to four business days; we estimate that such companies finish on average three days earlier than those with little or no automation. The quarterly and semiannual closes show similar ratios.

The tool most directly applicable to improving this process is consolidation software; half of companies using it are able to close monthly within six days. Here we estimate that companies that use consolidation software save at least one day over those that use spreadsheets. However, only one-fourth of participating organizations use this software, compared to 38 percent that mainly use their ERP system and 30 percent that mainly use spreadsheets. Nearly half of the largest companies (47%) as measured by number of employees use consolidation software; only 7 percent of

midsize ones do, while half (53%) of those use spreadsheets. We attribute this difference to the complex corporate and IT environments of very large companies, which demand dedicated software to manage, and their greater access to resources to acquire it. Large companies use their ERP systems more often than consolidation software (42% vs. 29%).

Users of consolidation software are most often satisfied with the software they use to manage the closing process. More than two-thirds (68%) are satisfied or very satisfied, compared to 61 percent of ERP users and just 32 percent of those that use spreadsheets. A greater number (35%) of spreadsheet users are dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with their software. We believe that a major source of this dissatisfaction is the propensity for errors in spreadsheet use. Heavy users of spreadsheets often said they would save one day, three days or more if they could eliminate all errors in the closing process.

The research discovered another factor that can slow the close and preparation of other financial reports: the consistency and quality of the necessary data. This is a significant or very significant problem for nearly two-thirds (62%) of the research participants. We estimate that on average the companies for which the problem is very significant take two days longer to complete their monthly close than those that have few or no data issues. Here again errors cause frustration: 83 percent of companies that described their data quality or consistency issue as very significant said they could close their books three or more days sooner if all errors were eliminated.

More than two-thirds (68%) of users of consolidation software are satisfied or very satisfied with the software they use to manage the closing process.

Along with having reliable data to use in the close, finance departments need easy access to it. In this regard the research finds a promising trend, as 70 percent of participants have a centralized data warehouse for all of their financial data. We estimate that these companies on average complete their quarterly or semiannual close one day sooner than those that don't have a single data repository and their monthly close half a day sooner. But the finding about data quality and consistency indicates that a substantial portion need to take steps to ensure that the data going into their warehouse is accurate.

As noted above, a key benefit of achieving a fast, clean close is that it allows more time for analysis and preparation of reports. This can be especially valuable to public companies that have to file regulatory documents tagged with XBRL. Ventana Research generally recommends that companies handle this process themselves rather than leave it to a third party; doing so can provide more control and flexibility in the process. Among participants in this research, currently only one-third (35%) are doing their own tagging, although an additional 40 percent use a service provider today but plan to move the task in-house within two years. In the long run, investing in software that automates tagging will make the process faster and less expensive than using a service provider.

It is unfortunate that efforts to streamline the close have stalled in many organizations. For half of the participants in this research, the economic turbulence

and intensified financial regulation of the last few years have had an impact on their quarterly closing process, and 30 percent of those companies said the effect was to lengthen their close period. Changes in financial disclosures have caused two in five organizations to institute more internal levels of review and one in five to be more careful in checking data for errors. Now, as these changes have been assimilated into organizational processes and business prospects brighten, it's time for companies to renew their efforts to achieve a fast, clean close and gain the time to develop insights for better decision-making that it can create.

This Executive Summary is drawn from the full Ventana Research Benchmark Research report. The full report is available for purchase, payable by check or credit card. For more information about the full Benchmark Research report or assessment of your organization using the Maturity Index, please contact us at sales@ventanaresearch.com.

VENDOR SPONSOR



MEDIA SPONSOR

BusinessFinance

How Ventana Research Can Help

Ventana Research helps organizations develop, execute and sustain business and technology programs that align people, processes, information and technologies essential for success. As an objective and trusted advisor, we are your insurance that your business and IT initiatives deliver both immediate and long-term improvements to your business.

We offer a variety of customizable services to meet your specific needs including workshops, assessments and advisory services. Our [education](#) service, led by analysts with more than 20 years of experience, provides a great starting point to learn about important business and technology topics from compliance to business intelligence to building a strategy and driving adoption of best practices. We also offer tailored [assessment](#) and [benchmarking](#) services to help you connect the business and technology phases of your project by leveraging our research foundation and methodologies. And we can provide [Ventana On-Demand](#) access to our analysts on an as-needed basis to help you keep up with market trends, technologies and best practices.

Everything at Ventana Research begins with our focused [research](#), of which this report is a part. We work with thousands of organizations worldwide, conducting research and analyzing market trends, best practices and technologies to help our clients improve the efficiency and effectiveness of their organizations.

Through the Ventana Research community we also provide opportunities for professionals to share challenges, best practices and methodologies. Sign up for Individual membership at www.ventanaresearch.com to gain access to our weekly insights and learn about upcoming educational and collaboration events – webinars, conferences and opportunities for social collaboration on the Internet. We offer the following membership levels, as well as an additional PLUS option for bundled education:

Individual membership: For business and IT professionals* interested in full access to our Web site and analyst team for themselves. The membership includes access to our library of hundreds of white papers and research notes, briefings and telephone/e-mail consulting sessions to provide input and feedback.

Team membership: For business and IT professionals* interested in full access to our Web site and analysts for a five-member team. The membership includes access to our library of hundreds of white papers and research notes, briefings, telephone/e-mail consulting sessions to provide input and feedback and the use of Ventana Research materials for business purposes.

Business membership: For business and IT professionals* interested in full access to our Web site and analyst team for their larger team or small business unit. The membership includes access to our library of hundreds of white papers and research notes, briefings, telephone/e-mail consulting sessions to provide input and feedback, use of Ventana Research materials for business purposes.

To learn more about Ventana Research services – including workshops, assessments and advice – Please contact clientservices@ventanaresearch.com.

* [Additional services](#) are available for solution providers, software vendors, consultants and systems integrators.

About Ventana Research

Ventana Research is the most authoritative and respected benchmark business technology research and advisory services firm. We provide insight and expert guidance on mainstream and disruptive technologies through a unique set of research-based offerings including benchmark research and technology evaluation assessments, education workshops and our research and advisory services, Ventana OnDemand. Our unparalleled understanding of the role of technology in optimizing business processes and performance and our best practices guidance are rooted in our rigorous research-based benchmarking of people, processes, information and technology across business and IT functions in every industry. This benchmark research plus our market coverage and in-depth knowledge of hundreds of technology providers means we can deliver education and expertise to our clients to increase the value they derive from technology investments while reducing time, cost and risk.

Ventana Research provides the most comprehensive analyst and research coverage in the industry; business and IT professionals worldwide are members of our community and benefit from Ventana Research's insights, as do highly regarded media and association partners around the globe. Our views and analyses are distributed daily through blogs and social media channels including [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#) and [Business Week's Business Exchange](#).

To learn how Ventana Research advances the maturity of organizations' use of information and technology through benchmark research, education and advisory services, visit www.ventanaresearch.com.

This material is copyrighted. Reproduction or distribution of this research in any form without prior written permission is forbidden.